UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,092	02/02/2004	Martin R. Roscheisen	NSL-022	2021
27652 7590 12/30/2008 JOSHUA D. ISENBERG EXAMINER				
JDI PATENT	_	BERDICHEVSKY, MIRIAM		
	809 CORPORATE WAY FREMONT, CA 94539		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/771,092	ROSCHEISEN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		MIRIAM BERDICHEVSKY	1795			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Personsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A	iaust 2008				
'=	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 August 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
2a)⊠ 3)□	<i>,</i> —					
ا ال	- /					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	S)					
· ·	Claim(s) <u>5, 10, 14-15, 29, 34, 36-38 and 41-45</u>					
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
٥,١	are subject to restriction aria, or	olootion roquironioni.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/771,092 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2, 6-8, 22, 27 and 30-31 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2, 6-8, 22, 27 and 30-31 recite the limitation "the third charge transfer material" in claims 2, 6-8, 22, 27 and 30-31. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is the Examiner's opinion that "a third material" in claim 1 should be changed to "a third charge transfer material".

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5, 10, 14-15, 29, 34, 36-38 and 41-45 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where

Art Unit: 1795

the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

5. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1,3,4,9,11-13,16-26,28,30,32,33,35,39 & 40 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8,10-14,19,21,22,24,27-42,44,47,49,51,53,55,56,58-60 & 62 of copending Application No. 10/771,250.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,092

Art Unit: 1795

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the reference application are substantially the same or encompass all of the limitations of the instant application. For example, in both instant applications claim 1 includes a first charge transfer nano-structured template and a second charge transfer material with template/acicular crystal element density of between about 10¹² elements/m² to 10¹⁶ elements/m² and wherein a lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) (col. 13; lines: 38-50) or conduction band of the first charge-transfer material (Si) (col.1; lines: 18-24) differs from a LUMO or conduction band of the second charge-transfer material by less than about 1eV (for Si the band gap energy is ~ 1eV).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Terminal Disclaimer Status

A terminal disclaimer was filed 8/28/2008 but has not been approved because there is no 3.73b statement.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see, filed 8/28/2008, with respect to claim 1 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims 1-45 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been withdrawn.

The closest prior art is Den (EP 1087446) which discloses n-type crystalline aggregates within a porous alumina material. Applicant argues that such growths cannot be deemed a template because they are not self

Art Unit: 1795

supporting. The Examiner agrees. Applicant argues that should the crystals of Den be considered a template there is no teaching of a second charge transfer layer conformally coating the template. The Examiner agrees, the second material layer in Den does not coat the walls of the crystals.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **MIRIAM BERDICHEVSKY** whose telephone number is (571)270-5256. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 10am-8pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa Neckel can be reached on (571) 272-1446. The fax

Art Unit: 1795

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Alexa D. Neckel/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795